

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION**

IN RE: ARC AIRBAG INFLATORS  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 3051  
Case No. 1:22-md-3051-ELR

Hon. Eleanor L. Ross

**DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE  
TO PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF  
SUPPLEMENTAL  
AUTHORITY**

---

Plaintiffs' Notice of Supplemental Authority (Dkt. 300) about *Sowa v. Mercedes-Benz Group AG*, No. 1:23-cv-636 (N.D. Ga. Dec. 31, 2024), misconstrues parts of Judge Geraghty's opinion, ignores or relegates to footnotes the parts of the opinion favoring Defendants, and ignores important differences between *Sowa* and this multi-district litigation.

*First*, Plaintiffs mistakenly suggest that *Sowa*'s holding on standing under Article III favors them. Dkt. 300 at 1. But the portion of the opinion they cite addressed a different question than the one this Court is facing. The cited portion addressed whether owners of one Mercedes model had standing to sue on behalf of owners of different models with the same alleged defect. Defendants' point here, in contrast, is that Plaintiffs from one state cannot raise claims under the laws of other

states. *See* Dkt. 181 at 6.<sup>1</sup> *Sowa* addressed this question too, and it dismissed the pertinent claims—just as Defendants ask the Court to do here. *See* Dkt. 300-1 at 6 & n.5 (dismissing Florida claims where Florida plaintiff died, leaving the court with “no jurisdiction to entertain any Florida-related claims”). Plaintiffs never acknowledge, much less explain away, this ruling.

*Second*, Plaintiffs suggest that *Sowa*’s choice-of-law holding as to the nationwide fraud and unjust enrichment claims favors them. Dkt. 300 at 1-2. But *Sowa* is not a multi-district litigation and so does not address the choice-of-law issues the Court must grapple with here. *Sowa* was obliged to follow Georgia’s unique and archaic choice-of-law rules, which have been criticized as “uncommonly silly, wholly disingenuous . . . indefensible, singularly unappealing, and a covert tool for engineering a choice of Georgia law.” *See* Dkt. 300-1 at 31 & n.15. Here, in contrast, the Court must apply the choice-of-law analysis that would be applied by each transferor court, most of which are not in Georgia, because this is an MDL. *See* Dkt. 181 at 8–9.

*Third*, Plaintiffs relegate to a footnote that *Sowa* dismissed all express warranty claims because the plaintiffs failed to seek repairs or replacements within

---

<sup>1</sup> Moreover, with respect to the Tier 1 Supplier Defendants, the point is also that owners of a vehicle containing one Supplier’s airbag module do not have standing to sue *all* Tier 1 Suppliers, including those that did not manufacture the module in their vehicles. *See* Dkt. 178-1 at 17-18.

the warranty period. Dkt. 300 at 4 n.2. Plaintiffs try to distinguish *Sowa* by saying they oppose Defendants' reliance on the actual warranties. That is wrong for reasons already thoroughly briefed by multiple parties. *See, e.g.*, Dkts. 275 at 12; Dkt. 277 at 4. *Sowa* also rejected Plaintiffs' arguments about why the court should excuse their failure to present their vehicles for repair. *Compare* Dkt. 221 at 16-19, *with* Dkt. 300-1 at 47-52 (noting Mercedes had no opportunity to repair and that time-limited warranties do not protect buyers against latent defects; rejecting futility argument).

*Fourth*, with respect to the implied warranty claims, Plaintiffs' reliance on the privity holdings in *Sowa* is misplaced. For example, Plaintiffs never raised the purported third-party beneficiary exception in opposition to Defendants' argument that New York's implied warranty claims require privity, *see, e.g.*, Dkt. 221 at 19 & App'x A, Chart 1. As a result, they have waived this point. *See Moore v. BMW of N.A., LLC*, 2021 WL 614920, at \*2 (N.D. Ga. Feb. 17, 2021). Plaintiffs also failed to oppose Defendants' argument that Virginia requires privity, *see* Dkt. 181 at 14; 221 at 19-20, so it too is waived. And Plaintiffs ignore that *Sowa*'s holding under California law related solely to the Song-Beverly Act, which does not require privity, Dkt. 300-1 at 74, but Plaintiffs are also asserting an implied warranty claim under § 2314 of the California Commercial Code, which does have a privity requirement. Dkt. 249 at 10.

*Fifth*, with respect to its interpretation of how Rule 9(b) applies in the “omissions” context, Dkt. 300 at 4-5, *Sowa* is out of step with Eleventh Circuit precedent, this Court, and other courts in this District. Whether brought under an affirmative misrepresentation or an “omissions” theory, claims sounding in fraud must “set forth (1) precisely what statements or omissions were made in which documents or oral representations; (2) the time and place of each such statement and the person responsible for making (or, in the case of omissions, not making) them; (3) the content of such statements and the manner in which they misled the plaintiff; and (4) what the defendant obtained as a consequence of the fraud.”

*FindWhat Investor Group v. FindWhat.com*, 658 F.3d 1282, 1296 (11th Cir. 2011); accord *In re HD Supply Holdings, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 341 F. Supp. 3d 1342, 1351 (N.D. Ga. 2018) (Ross, J.); *Edwards v. Wisconsin Pharmacal Co., LLC*, 987 F. Supp. 2d 1340, 1346 (N.D. Ga. 2013).

*Sixth*, Plaintiffs say that *Sowa* upheld the unjust enrichment claims. Dkt. 300 at 2. Wrong again. All unjust enrichment claims were dismissed because, as in this case, a contract governed the subject matter in dispute. Dkt. 300-1 at 116; Dkt. 181 at 42-43.

*Seventh*, *Sowa*’s rejection of Mercedes’ “shotgun pleading” argument was premised on the finding that plaintiffs had plausibly pleaded that the U.S.-based

defendant was an agent of its German parent. Dkt. 300-1 at 42. No such allegations appear in the Amended Complaint here.

*Finally*, Plaintiffs ignore important differences between *Sowa* and this consolidated litigation. *Sowa* deals with two related defendants, class members from 17 states, and a single complaint that consolidated a handful of cases filed in this District and that, for the most part, raises questions of Georgia law. *See id.* at 36-39, 57-58, 98. This centralized litigation involves more than 100 Plaintiffs from 36 states. Those Plaintiffs initially asserted claims in federal courts around the country, and they are now pursuing claims under the laws of all 50 states and the District of Columbia. Rather than suing two related defendants, they are suing numerous, largely unrelated defendants—including automotive suppliers that have raised arguments that were never raised in *Sowa*. And in contrast to this case, in *Sowa* many owners of class vehicles, including some named plaintiffs, claim they actually experienced the alleged defect. Dkt. 300-1 at 45, 86. Not a single Plaintiff in this case has made such an allegation.

Dated: February 7, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Eric S. Mattson

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
Eric S. Mattson  
Kendra Stead  
Ankur Shingal  
One South Dearborn St.  
Chicago, IL 60603

NELSON MULLINS RILEY &  
SCARBOROUGH LLP  
Anita Wallace Thomas  
Suite 1700  
201 17th Street, N.W.  
Atlanta, GA 30363

Telephone: (312) 853-7000  
Facsimile: (312) 853-7036  
emattson@sidley.com  
kstead@sidley.com  
ashingal@sidley.com

Ellyce R. Cooper  
1999 Avenue of the Stars  
17th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Telephone: (213) 896-6000  
Facsimile: (213) 896 6600  
ecooper@sidley.com

Telephone: (404) 322-6000  
Facsimile: (404) 322-6050  
anita.thomas@nelsonmullins.com

BOWMAN AND BROOKE  
Joel H. Smith  
Patrick J. Cleary  
1441 Main St., Ste. 1200  
Columbia, SC 29201  
Telephone: (803) 726-7422  
Facsimile: (803) 726-7421  
joel.smith@bowmanandbrooke.com  
patrick.cleary@bowmanandbrooke.com

DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC-MI  
James P. Feeney  
Suite # 300  
39577 Woodward Avenue  
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304  
Telephone: (248) 203-0841  
Facsimile: (855) 243-9885  
Email: jfeeney@dykema.com

*COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS KIA  
AMERICA, INC., HYUNDAI MOTOR  
AMERICA, KIA CORPORATION,  
AND HYUNDAI MOTOR COMPANY*

/s/ Michael B. Shortnacy

KING & SPALDING LLP

Livia M. Kiser

Susan V. Vargas

633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1600

Los Angeles, CA 90071

Telephone: (213) 443-4355

Fax: (213) 443-4310

lkiser@kslaw.com

svargas@kslaw.com

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.

Michael B. Shortnacy

2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400

Los Angeles, CA 90067

Telephone: (424) 324-3494

Fax: (424) 204-9093

mshortnacy@shb.com

*COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS AUDI  
OF AMERICA, LLC  
AND VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF  
AMERICA, INC.*

/s/ April N. Ross

MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP

April N. Ross

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20004

Telephone: (202) 739-5590

april.ross@morganlewis.com

Mohamed Awan

110 North Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

Telephone: (312) 324-1574

mohamed.awan@morganlewis.com

BAKER DONELSON,  
BEARMAN, CALDWELL &  
BERKOWITZ, PC

Linda A. Klein

(GA Bar No. 425069)

Monarch Plaza, Suite 1500

3414 Peachtree Rd., NE

Atlanta, GA 30326

Telephone: (404) 577-6000

lklein@bakerdonelson.com

*COUNSEL FOR GENERAL MOTORS  
LLC*

/s/ Eric Y. Kizirian

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD &  
SMITH, LLP

Eric Y. Kizirian

Zourik Zarifian

633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000

Los Angeles, CA 90071

Telephone: (213) 250-1800

Fax: (213) 250-7900

eric.kizirian@lewisbrisbois.com

zourik.zarifian@lewisbrisbois.com

*COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS BMW  
OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC  
AND BMW MANUFACTURING CO.,  
LLC.*

/s/ Benjamin W. Jeffers

HICKEY HAUCK BISHOFF

JEFFERS & SEABOLT, PLLC

Benjamin W. Jeffers

Benjamin I. Shipper

Andrew M. Gonyea

1 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2000

Detroit, MI 48226

Telephone: (313) 964-8600

Fax: (313) 964-8601

bjeffers@hhbjs.com

bshipper@hhbjs.com

agonyea@hhbjs.com

*COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT KEY  
SAFETY SYSTEMS, INC.  
D/B/A JOYSON SAFETY SYSTEMS*

/s/ David S. Killoran

DYKEMA GOSSETT LLP

David S. Killoran

444 S. Flower, Suite 2200

Los Angeles, CA 90071

Telephone: (213) 457-1800

dkilloran@dykema.com

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

Eric Tew

1301 K Street NW

Suite 1100 West

Washington, D.C. 20005

Telephone: (202) 906-8600

etew@dykema.com

*COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT  
PORSCHE CARS NORTH AMERICA,  
INC.*



/s/ Stephen A. D'Aunoy

KLEIN THOMAS LEE & FRESARD

Stephen A. D'Aunoy

Thomas L. Azar, Jr.

Suite 1600

100 N Broadway

St. Louis, MO 63102

Telephone: (314) 888-2971

steve.daunoy@kleinthomaslaw.com

tom.azar@kleinthomaslaw.com

Fred Fresard

Ian Edwards

Lauren Fibel

101 W. Big Beaver Road, Ste. 1400

Troy, MI 48084

Telephone: (248) 509-9270

fred.fresard@kleinthomaslaw.com

ian.edwards@kleinthomaslaw.com

lauren.fibel@kleinthomaslaw.com

*COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT FCA  
US LLC*

/s/ Brian D. Schmalzbach

MCGUIREWOODS LLP

Perry W. Miles IV

Brian D. Schmalzbach

800 East Canal Street

Richmond, VA 23219

Telephone: (804) 775-1000

Fax: (804) 775-1061

pmiles@mcguirewoods.com

bschmalzbach@mcguirewoods.com

Lee K. Royster

201 North Tryon Street

Suite 3000

Charlotte, NC 28202

Telephone: (704) 343-2000

Fax: (704) 343-2300

lroyster@mcguirewoods.com

WATSON SPENCE LLP

Michael R. Boorman

Phillip A. Henderson

600 Peachtree St NE, Suite 1130

Atlanta, GA 30308

Telephone: (678) 433-6586

Fax: (229) 436-6358

mboorman@watsonspence.com

phenderson@watsonspence.com

*COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT FORD  
MOTOR COMPANY*

/s/ Michael D. Leffel

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP  
Michael D. Leffel  
150 East Gilman Street, Suite 5000  
Madison, WI 53703  
Telephone: (608) 257-5035  
Fax: (608) 258-4258  
mleffel@foley.com

Jeff Soble  
Lauren Loew  
321 N Clark Street, Suite 3000  
Chicago, IL 60654  
Telephone: (312) 832-4500  
jsoble@foley.com  
lloew@foley.com

*COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT ARC  
AUTOMOTIVE, INC.*

/s/ J. Liat Rome

WILLIAMS AND CONNOLLY LLP  
Joseph G. Petrosinelli  
J. Liat Rome  
680 Maine Ave., S.W.  
Washington, DC 20024  
Telephone: (202) 434-5000  
Fax: (202) 434-5029  
jpetrosinelli@wc.com  
jrome@wc.com

ALSTON & BIRD LLP  
Cari K. Dawson  
Georgia Bar No. 213490  
Kara F. Kennedy  
Georgia Bar No. 926006  
One Atlantic Center  
1201 West Peachtree Street  
Atlanta, GA 30309  
Telephone: (404) 881-7000  
Fax: (404) 881-7777  
cari.dawson@alston.com  
kara.kennedy@alston.com

*COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS  
AUTOLIV, INC.  
AND AUTOLIV ASP, INC.*

/s/ Arthur F. Foerster

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Sean M. Berkowitz

Arthur F. Foerster

Johanna Spellman

330 North Wabash Street, Suite 2800

Chicago, IL 60611

Telephone: (312) 876-7700

Fax: (312) 993-9767

sean.berkowitz@lw.com

TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON

SANDER LLP

Sean P. McNally

4000 Town Center, Suite 1800

Southfield, MI 48075

Telephone: (248) 359-7317

Fax: (248) 359-7700

sean.mcnally@troutman.com

Lindsey B. Mann

600 Peachtree Street, Suite 3000

Atlanta, GA 30308

Telephone: (404) 885-2743

Fax: (404) 962-6538

lindsey.mann@troutman.com

*COUNSEL FOR ZF ACTIVE SAFETY*

*AND ELECTRONICS US LLC,*

*ZF PASSIVE SAFETY SYSTEMS US*

*INC., ZF AUTOMOTIVE US INC.,*

*AND ZF TRW AUTOMOTIVE*

*HOLDINGS CORP.*

/s/ Joseph C. Weinstein

SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS (US) LLP

Joseph C. Weinstein

Roger M. Gold

1000 Key Tower

127 Public Square

Cleveland, OH 44114-1304

Telephone: (216) 479-8500

Fax: (216) 479-8780

joe.weinstein@squirepb.com

roger.gold@squirepb.com

Dara D. Mann

Georgia Bar No. 469065

Deborah Lempogo

Georgia Bar No. 277943

1230 Peachtree Street NE

Suite 2200

Atlanta, GA 30309

Telephone: (678) 272-3222

Fax: (678) 272-3211

dara.mann@squirepb.com

deborah.lempogo@squirepb.com

Daniel C. Harkins

1120 Avenue of the Americas, 13th Fl.

New York, NY 10036

Telephone: (212) 872-9890

Fax: (212) 872-9815

daniel.harkins@squirepb.com

*COUNSEL FOR TG MISSOURI*

*CORPORATION*

**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I certify that the foregoing document has been prepared with Times New Roman 14-point font, one of the font and point selections approved by Local Rule 5.1.

/s/ Eric S. Mattson

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on February 7, 2025, a copy of the foregoing Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Notice of Supplemental Authority was served electronically through the Court's electronic filing system on all parties appearing on the Court's ECF service list.

/s/ Eric S. Mattson